

Remarks

Reconsideration is requested.

Claims 24-30, 38-43, 50, 57, and 60 stand rejected and claims 58, 59, 61, and 62 are objected to. Claims 24, 38, 59, and 62 have been amended and claims 57-58 and 60-61 have been cancelled, leaving claims 24-30, 38-43, 50, 59, and 62 pending in the application. Applicant requests reconsideration of these claims.

Claims 24-30 and 57-62 are objected to for clerical reasons. To correct these clerical errors, claim 24 (referred to as claim 1 in the office action) has been amended to delete "of the" from current line 11 and claim 62 has been amended to replace "method" in line 1 with "computer implemented hard copy output engine control system".

Claims 58, 59, 61, and 62 have been objected to but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.


Claim 24 has been amended to include the limitations of previously dependent claims 57 and 58. As indicated by the Examiner, claim 24 is now in condition for allowance. Claims 25-30 and 59 depend from claim 24 and are in condition for allowance for at least the reasons claim 24 is in condition for allowance.

Claim 38 has been amended to include the limitations of previously dependent claims 60 and 61. As indicated by the Examiner, claim 38 is now in condition for allowance. Claims 39-43, 50, and 62 depend from claim 38 and are in condition for allowance for at least the reasons claim 38 is in condition for allowance.

As amended claims 24-30, 38-43, 50, 59, and 62 are believed to be in condition for allowance. Having addressed all of the issues raised by the Examiner in the last action, this application is believed to be in immediate condition for allowance, and action to that end is requested.

Respectfully submitted,
Robert E. Haines

Dated: July 7, 2004

By: 
Deepak Malhotra
Reg. No.33,560